

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,066	03/15/2004	Medhat Mickael	AES 04-001 6976 EXAMINER	
75	590 05/22/2006			
Patrick H. McCollum			BOOSALIS, FANI POLYZOS	
PD Holdings (USA) Inc. Suite 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
363 N. Sam Houston Parkway East			2884	
Houston, TX 77060			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,066	MICKAEL, MEDHAT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Faye Boosalis	2884	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this com NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		nerits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20,40-44 and 49-52 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-39,45-48 and 53-56 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20,41-44 and 49-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 40 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents applicati	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National St	age
Attachment(s) Online Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Online Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Online Onlin	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		52)

Application/Control Number: 10/809,066 Page 2

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

Comment on Submissions

1. This communication is responsive to submission of 3 March 2006.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 40 is missing a dependency. Although a telephone interview with Patrick McCollum on May 12, 2006 authorized the amendment to claim 40 to depend on claim 37, an Examiner's amendment cannot be made based on the 112 and 101 Claim Rejections. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are indefinite for failing to disclose a system for gamma ray logging-while-drilling or a method of gain adjustment for logging-while-drilling in a single claim. See MPEP, II. PRODUCT AND PROCESS IN THE SAME CLAIM, In Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/809,066

Art Unit: 2884

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because each single claim claims both a system and a method step of using the system. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are indefinite for failing to disclose system or a method in a single claim. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are directed to neither a process nor a system, but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 21-39, 45-48 and 53-56 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claim 21, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest method for measuring gamma radiation while drilling a borehole comprising an adjustment of gain of the detector by using a measure of slope of a Compton scatter energy region.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for a logging-while-drilling method to measure formation density obtained from a measure of intensity of backscattered radiation in the Compton energy range (see for example *Paske et al. - US 4,698,501*– at col. 1, lines 7-17 and col. 3, lines 38-41), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest an adjustment of gain of the detector by using a measure of slope of a Compton scatter region to determine a correction factor to adjust detector gain to a standard gain.

Regarding independent claim 33, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for measuring gamma radiation while drilling a borehole comprising result of

Application/Control Number: 10/809,066

Art Unit: 2884

the comparisons between observed position of a calibration peak from the calibration radiation and with a predetermined standard position for the calibration peak, are used to correct standard gain spectrum to a standard detector gain.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for a logging-while-drilling system comprising measuring concentrations of K, U, and Th using blocks, disposed outside of the logging tool prior to logging and later removed during logging, containing known concentrations of these materials and a gain correction circuit to adjust the gain of measured natural gamma ray spectra based upon results of fitting of measured spectra to a standard spectra (see for example *Galford et al. - US 5,120,955 A*— at col. 9, lines 47-50 and col. 10, lines 13-16), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest a means for using dual gain circuit to yield the same measured gamma ray spectra with a standard gain and a high gain.

Regarding independent claim 45, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for measuring elemental concentration of at least one naturally occurring radioactive element in a formation penetrated by a borehole, comprising: a calibration source wherein the first gain correction determined from first component features of radioactive element and second gain correction from second component from calibration source are combined to correct gain shifts in gamma ray detector.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for a logging-while-drilling system comprising measuring concentrations of K, U, and Th using blocks, disposed outside of the logging tool prior to logging and later removed during logging, containing known concentrations of these materials and a gain correction circuit to adjust the gain

Art Unit: 2884

of measured natural gamma ray spectra based upon results of fitting of measured spectra to a standard spectra (see for example *Galford et al. - US 5,120,955 A*– at col. 9, lines 47-50 and col. 10, lines 13-16), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest a calibration source, as stated supra, to correct gain shifts in gamma ray detectors.

Regarding independent claim 53, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for measuring gamma radiation while drilling a borehole, comprising: a gamma ray detector, with a processor, yield a spectrum at a range of about 3 MeV to comprise gamma ray count rate recorded as a function of energy channel.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art of a density logging system to comprise a cesium source emitting 0.66 MeV gamma radiation and comprising two gamma ray detectors to measure Compton scatter radiation, induced by the cesium source, to determine formation bulk density (see for example *Hubner et al. - US* 4,524,273 – at col. 5, lines 60-65), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest a LWD gamma ray logging system embodied to measure natural occurring radioactive elements (i.e. Th, U and K) emitting energy, broader than the range stated supra by Hubner, up to about 3 MeV.

The remaining claims 22-32, 34-39, 46-48, 54-56 are allowable based on their dependency.

9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Application/Control Number: 10/809,066

Art Unit: 2884

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Faye Boosalis whose telephone number is 571-272-

2447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 7:30 AM to

4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FB

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800